

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22312-1459 www.upple.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,942	01/25/2001	Robert O'Brien	3005-58065	8210
24197	7590 12/19/2003		EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			FRANK, RODNEY T	
121 SW SALMON STREET SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND,	PORTLAND, OR 97204			
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/770,942	O'BRIEN, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney T. Frank	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE @ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estandards of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It the period for epply specified above is less than thit (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thity (30) days will be considered timely. It has been considered the second of the second period for reply will apply and will deprise XIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-14,17,18,20-36,47-53,69-87 and 89-113 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7,17,20,24-27,51,53,75,85,86,93 and 95 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language processes Capies	s have been received. s have been received in Applicating documents have been received (pCPT Rule 17-2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (st sentence of the specification ouvisional application has been rec priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120.	ition No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. D and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/770,942 Art Unit: 9856

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's request filed on 24 November 2003 for suspension of action in this application
 under 37 CFR 1.103(a), is denied as being improper. Action cannot be suspended in an
 application without a showing of good and sufficient cause for suspension of action. See MPEP
 § 709.
- Applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.103 filed 24 November 2003 is DISMISSED because the proper petition or processing fee of \$130 required under 37 CFR 1.17 has not been submitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite
in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an
omnibus type claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 8, 10-14, 18, 21-23, 28-36, 47-50, 69-74, 76-89, 91, 92, 94, and 96-113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rounbehler et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,099,743; hereinafter referred to as Rounbehler). Rounbehler discloses a highly selective, sensitive, fast detection system and method are disclosed for detecting vapors of specific compounds in air. Vapors emanating from compounds such as explosives, or stripped from surfaces using heat and suction from a hand-held sample gun, are collected on surfaces coated with

Application/Control Number: 09/770,942 Art Unit: 2856

gas chromatograph (GC) material which trap explosives vapors but repel nitric oxide, then are desorbed and concentrated in one or more cold spot concentrators. A high speed gas chromatograph (GC) separates the vapors, after which specific vapors are decomposed in two pyrolyzers arranged in parallel and the resulting nitric oxide is detected. A low temperature pyrolyzer with silver produces NO from nitramines or nitrite esters; a high temperature pyrolyzer decomposes all explosives vapors to permit detection of the remaining explosives. Also disclosed is a series arrangement of pyrolyzers and gas chromatographs and an NO detector to time-shift detection of certain vapors and facilitate very fast GC analyses. The use of hydrogen as a carrier gas, plus unique collectors and concentrators, high speed heaters, NO detectors, and very fast, temperature-programmable GC's enhance selectivity, sensitivity and speed of detection (Please see the abstract).

In regard to claim 1, a method for analyzing a gas sample comprising providing a gas sample, increasing it's pressure to compress the sample through focusing, and analyzing it using gas chromatography is disclosed. This is specifically discussed in column 14 line 17 through column 15 line 6.

In regard to claims 2, 8, 10-14, 18, 21-23, 28-36, 47-50, 69-74, 76-89, 91, 92, 94, and 96-104, though the limitations of these claims are not explicitly disclosed in the claims, these limitations are either design choices and/or inherent to gas chromatography systems and would therefore be obvious in view of the prior art of record.

In regard to claim 105, a method of analyzing VOC's comprising compressing a gas sample compressing VOC's to a smaller volume in a sample collection tube by focusing the gas to provide a focused sample, separating VOC components of the focused sample gas, and detecting the separated VOC components to provide analysis of the VOC content is not specifically disclosed,

Art Unit: 2856

but since such a process is well established in Gas analysis arts, this would be obvious in view of the prior art of record.

In regard to claims 106-113, though the limitations of these claims are not explicitly disclosed in the claims, these limitations are either design choices and/or inherent to gas chromatography/analysis systems and would therefore be obvious in view of the prior art of record.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 90 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 8, 10-14, 18, 21-23, 28-36, 47-50, 69-74,
 76-89, 91, 92, 94, and 96-113 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney T. Frank whose telephone number is (703) 306-5717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am -5:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

RTF

December 11, 2003

HEZRON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800